Characterizing Configuration Complexity in Highly-Configurable Systems INPOSIUM & BOO, URBANA THI with Variational Call Graphs

Gabriel Ferreira, Christian Kästner, Jürgen Pfeffer, Sven Apel

Configuration options create challenges for security

- Human analysis capacity does not scale with exponentially \bullet growing configuration space
- Interactions among configuration options may lead to surprising \bullet effects and vulnerabilities
- **Vulnerabilities may hide in specific configuration combinations**
- Challenging to verify security properties in all combinations

Our approach

- Track configuration options in C code with TypeChef infrastructure
- Build call graphs from source code of target systems
- Enrich call graphs with configuration knowledge
- Increase accuracy of call graphs with a pointer analysis
- **Determine presence conditions for all network elements**
- Extract network information from the source code
- **Developers maximize functionality to configuration complexity**
- **Current approaches:**
 - Work on specific configurations

2015

ON THE OF SECURI

Do not explore configuration knowledge

Partial results

- TypeChef now builds more accurate call graphs (pointer analysis) \bullet
- Generated call graphs contain configuration knowledge
- **Busybox analysis:**

3318 function definitions with presence conditions (node) **26719** function calls with presence conditions (edges) **193 indirect function calls (35% identified by pointer analysis)**

Call graph example (file: busybox/coreutils/od.c) \bullet



- Apply network science metrics to software call graphs lacksquare
- **Cross-validate network metrics with known vulnerabilities** Study: Linux & OpenSSL (project), Busybox (here)

Generating variational call graphs from source code

- **Build AST representation of source code for all configurations** \bullet
- Build call graph enriched with pointer analysis







The Science of Security initiative is funded by the National Security Agency.

