





Spatiotemporal G-code modeling for secure FDM-based 3D printing

Muhammad Haris Rais⁽¹⁾, Ye Li ⁽²⁾, Irfan Ahmed ⁽¹⁾ ⁽¹⁾ Virginia Commonwealth University ⁽²⁾ Bradley University

Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE 12th International Conference on Cyber-Physical Systems. 2021 <u>https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3450267.3450545</u>

Outline

- Overview of additive manufacturing process
- Categories of cyberattacks
- Processes involved
- Sophos3DP framework
- Evaluation
- Future work
- Conclusion

Additive manufacturing process chain



Why cybersecurity of additive manufacturing?

New technology

- Fundamentally different
- Not designed with security in mind
- AM is a CPS

Industry 4.0

- AM Mass customization
- IoT
- Cloud Computing
- Big Data
- Al

Use Cases

- Healthcare
- Aviation
- Automobile
- 24% ACGR

Less security awareness

Easier access to attackers

Higher rewards for attackers

Major attack goals



Sabotage Attacks on 3D Printing Process

Sabotage attack's aim is to weaken, damage or destroy the printed object

Types of Sabotage Attacks

- Geometry Related
 - External
 - Internal
- Filament-density Related
- Timing Sequence Related
 - Anisotropy
 - Printing Speed
- Thermodynamics Related

Direct manipulable physical processes in FFF printing



Sophos3DP : A framework to detect sabotage attacks

Sophos3DP - A Framework for Secure FDM Printing



Data Acquisition - Kinetics

- Optical Encoders
- Rotary encoders for x,y, filament movement
- Linear encoder for printing bed
- Accumulation through Arduino

Pictures from our experiment on Ultimaker3 printer



Encoders => Arduino -> control PC



Rotary optical encoder deployment steps



Linear Strip Encoder for bed movement

Data Acquisition - Thermodynamics



Nozzle and Bed Temperature sensors

Selected Sensors

Purpose	Sensor	Vendor	Model Number	Specs	Resolution as	
	Туре				per System	
					Deployment	
X-axis	Optical-	US Dig-	E2-512-315-NE-H-	512 cy-	0.1 mm	
	Rotary	ital	D-B	cles/rev		
Y-axis	Optical-	US Dig-	E2-512-315-NE-H-	512 cy-	0.1 mm	
	Rotary	ital	D-B	cles/rev		
Z-axis	Optical-	US Dig-	LIN-500-9.5-N	500 cy-	0.012 mm	
	Linear	ital		cles/inch		
	Strip					
Filament	Optical-	US Dig-	E2-2000-315-IE-E-	2000 cy-	0.0035 mm	
	Rotary	ital	D-3	cles/rev		
Nozzle	Thermo-	Adafruit	Type-k &	Upto 500°C	0.25 ^o C	
Tempera-	couple		MAX31855			
ture						
Bed Tem-	Thermistor	Omega	SA1-TH-44006-40-	Upto 120°C	0.2°C	
perature			Т			

Higher resolution sensors can be used for improved resolution

G-code to synthesized data set

Challenge

- G-code file does not mention instruction execution time
- Detection solution assumes no knowledge of firmware

Solution

- Model the printer (firmware + hardware)
 - Linear and 2nd order derivatives based interpretation of instructions
 - Error is calculated and distributed across the layer data set after every layer

222 ;TIME ELAPSED:57.715992 223 :LAYER:1 224 M140 S60 225 M106 S255 226 ;TYPE:FILL 227 ;MESH:single_bar_60x15x4mm_without_walls.stl 228 G1 F2400 X102.71 Y95.68 E24.93945 229 G1 X102.32 Y95.68 E24.94413 230 G1 X102.319 Y94.71 E24.95576 472 G0 F6000 X102.319 Y95.289 473 ;TIME ELAPSED:98.312862 474 ;LAYER:2 475 ;TYPE:FILL 476;MESH:single bar 60x15x4mm without walls.stl 477G1 F2400 X102.71 Y95.68 E42.42031 478 G1 X102.32 Y95.68 E42.42499

 $Error_{L-1} = Gcode-Time_{L-1} - Modeled-Time_{L-1}$

 $\mathsf{Error}_{\mathsf{L}\text{-}1}$ distributed across all data points in $\mathsf{Dataset}_{\mathsf{L}\text{-}1}$

Algorithm: G-code file to space and time profiles

```
Output: LayerMap and TimeProfile
Input: G-code File
while (! (End of G-code File))
   pickNextInstruction()
   if(layerEnd)
      finalize(LM,TP) for CurrentLayer
       update(z_profile)
   else if (PrintingParameter)
       Update Pms // current parameter set
   else if (MoveCommand)
      Update x2, y2, e2 \leftarrow B<sub>x,ye</sub>
      LM \leftarrow pathProfile(x_1, y_1, e_1, x_2, y_2, e_2, LM,
    Pms)
       TP \leftarrow timeProfile(TP, x_2, y_2, e_2, Pms)
      Assign x_1, y_1, z_1 \leftarrow x_2, y_2, e_2
return LM, TP
```

G-code to Space-Domain

;START_OF_HEADER	G1 X114.244 Y116.524 E2.57157
;HEADER_VERSION:0.1	G1 X115.055 Y116.688 E2.58901
;FLAVOR:Griffin	G1 X115.882 Y116.781 E2.60655
;GENERATOR.NAME:Cura_SteamEngine	M205 X6 Y6
;GENERATOR.VERSION:4.0.0	G0 F2100 X116.082 Y116.781
;GENERATOR.BUILD_DATE:2019-03-19	GØ X116.096 Y117.279
;TARGET_MACHINE.NAME:Ultimaker 3	M205 X5 Y5
;EXTRUDER_TRAIN.0.INITIAL_TEMPERATURE:	;TYPE:WALL-INNER
;EXTRUDER_TRAIN.0.MATERIAL.VOLUME_USED	G1 F1500 X116.878 Y117.279 E2.62303
;EXTRUDER_TRAIN.0.MATERIAL.GUID:506c9	G1 X117.121 Y117.28 E2.62815
;EXTRUDER_TRAIN.0.NOZZLE.DIAMETER:0.4	G1 X117.595 Y117.229 E2.6382
;EXTRUDER_TRAIN.0.NOZZLE.NAME:AA 0.4	G1 X117.998 Y117.184 E2.64675
;BUILD_PLATE.TYPE:glass	G1 X118.276 Y117.129 E2.65272
;BUILD_PLATE.INITIAL_TEMPERATURE:60	G1 X118.854 Y117.013 E2.66515
;PRINT.TIME:794	G1 X118.895 Y117.001 E2.66605
;PRINT.GROUPS:1	G1 X119.691 Y116.767 E2.68354
;PRINT.SIZE.MIN.X:106.013	G1 X120.505 Y116.446 E2.70198
;PRINT.SIZE.MIN.Y:97.005	G1 X120.557 Y116.42 E2.7032
;PRINT.SIZE.MIN.Z:0.27	G1 X121.285 Y116.054 E2.72038
;PRINT.SIZE.MAX.X:126.995	G1 X121.305 Y116.042 E2.72087
;PRINT.SIZE.MAX.Y:117.997	G1 X122.028 Y115.594 E2.7388
;PRINT.SIZE.MAX.Z:8.87	G1 X122.073 Y115.56 E2.73999
;END_OF_HEADER	G1 X122.726 Y115.069 E2.75721
;Generated with Cura_SteamEngine 4.0.0	G1 X122.814 Y114.99 E2.7597
10	G1 X123.376 Y114.485 E2.77562
M82 ;absolute extrusion mode	G1 X123.565 Y114.282 E2.78147
C03 F0	G1 X123.97 Y113.845 E2.79403
G92 E0	G1 X124.147 Y113.616 E2.80013
M109 5210	G1 X124.505 Y113.156 E2.81241
G280 SI	G1 X124.647 Y112.934 E2.81797
	G1 X124.977 Y112.419 E2.83086
LATER_COUNT:44	G1 X125.112 Y112.161 E2.837
jLATEN:0 M107	G1 X125.382 Y111.645 E2.84927
M204 \$125	G1 X125.548 Y111.242 E2.85846
N207 J12J	

x-axis (m)	y-axis (m)	Time (sec)	Nozzle temperat ure (K)	Filment length (mm)	Printing Status
0.0262	0.0206	133.806	478	1279.644	1
0.0262	0.0207	133.809	478	1279.645	1
0.0262	0.0208	133.811	478	1279.646	1
0.0262	0.0209	133.813	478	1279.647	1
0.0262	0.021	136.975	478	1280.539	1
0.0262	0.0211	136.977	478	1280.54	1
0.0262	0.0212	136.978	478	1280.541	1
0.0262	0.0213	136.979	478	1280.542	1
0.0262	0.0214	136.98	478	1280.543	1
0.0262	0.0215	136.982	478	1280.544	1
0.0262	0.0216	139.523	478	1281.455	1
0.0262	0.0217	139.524	478	1281.457	1
0.0262	0.0218	139.525	478	1281.458	1
0.0262	0.0219	139.526	478	1281.459	1
0.0262	0.022	139.527	478	1281.46	1
0.0262	0.0221	139.529	478	1281.461	1
0.0262	0.0222	139.53	478	1281.462	1
0.0262	0.0223	54.613	478	1247.71	1
0.0262	0.0224	54.609	478	1247.709	1
0.0262	0.0225	54.606	478	1247.708	1
0.0262	0.0226	54.603	478	1247.707	1
0.0262	0.0227	54.601	478	1247.705	1
0.0262	0.0228	79.442	478	1259.976	1
0.0262	0.0229	79.442	478	1259.976	1
0.0262	0.023	79.442	478	1259.976	1
0.0262	0.0231	79.442	478	1259.976	1
0.0262	0.0232	141.404	478	1275	1
0.0262	0.0233	141.404	478	1275	0
0.0262	0.0224	141 404	479	1275	0

Go Back To Framework

Sensors data to time and space domain profile

- Alignment move
 - (Δx > 0 | | Δy > 0) & Δe ==0
- Extrusion move
 - (Δx > 0 | | Δy > 0) & Δe > 0
- Filament moves much slower than x/y motors
- Is this an extrusion and alignment move?
- Sampling rate: the higher the better ?



 $FS_{t1} = a; FS_{t2} = a; FS_{t3} = a'; FS_{t3} = a'$

- Solution:
 - Higher resolution sensors for low speed axis
 - Dual sampling rate introduced
 - High sampling to track nozzle movement
 - Low sampling to establish the filament extrusion state
 - Sampling rates
 - covers the printing speed limits
 - Acquisition system capacity

Raw sensors data to time domain

Starting Marker	Time (ms)	X-axis (mm)	Y-axis (mm)	Z-axis (mm)	Filament Consumed (mm)	Nozzle Temperature (C)	Bed Temperature (C)	Ending Markei	
S	378200.03	201.58	302.66	9.59	88.8	198.75	59.5	Т	
S	378205.15	201.7	302.54				1	Т	
S	378210.09	201.84	302.42				\backslash	Т	
S	378215.03	201.97	302.28				\backslash	Т	
S	378220.09	202.11	302.15		_			-	
S	378225.09	202.23	302.01	•	— Fasi	t Samples	steady Sa	mples	
S	378230.12	202.34	301.89				/	т	N
S	378235.03	202.46	301.8					Т	
S	378240.09	202.48	301.74					Т	
S	378245.09	202.27	301.74				*	Т	
S	378250.09	202.05	301.74	9.59	88.814	198.75	59.5	Т	
S	378255.09	201.97	301.76					Т	
S	378260.06	201.95	301.78					Т	
S	378265.12	201.95	301.82					Т	
S	378270.03	201.91	301.85					Т	
S	378275.12	201.84	301.91					Т	V
S	378280.03	201.76	301.99					Т	
S	378285.06	201.68	302.07					т	
S	378290.12	201.6	302.17					Т	
S	378295.03	201.5	302.25					Т	
S	378300.03	201.39	302.36	9.59	88.825	198.75	59.5	т	
S	378305.25	201.27	302.48					Т	

	Time (sec)	x-axis (m)	y-axis (m)	Nozzle temperat ure (K)	Filment length (mm)	Printing Status
	0	0.068	0.003	477.62	0	1
	0	0.068	0.003	477.62	0	1
	0.05	0.067	0.003	477.62	0.014	1
	0.1	0.066	0.003	477.62	0.029	1
	0.15	0.065	0.003	477.62	0.039	1
	0.2	0.064	0.003	477.62	0.053	1
	0.25	0.063	0.003	477.62	0.068	1
	0.3	0.062	0.003	477.62	0.082	1
	0.35	0.061	0.003	477.62	0.085	1
	0.355	0.0609	0.003	477.62	0.087	1
	0.36	0.0608	0.003	477.62	0.09	1
	0.365	0.0607	0.003	477.62	0.093	1
	0.37	0.0606	0.003	477.62	0.095	1
•	0.375	0.0605	0.003	477.62	0.098	1
	0.38	0.0604	0.003	477.62	0.101	1
	0.385	0.0603	0.003	477.62	0.103	1
	0.39	0.0602	0.003	477.62	0.106	1
	0.395	0.0601	0.003	477.62	0.109	1
	0.4	0.06	0.003	477.62	0.111	1
	0.405	0.0599	0.003	477.62	0.113	1
	0.41	0.0598	0.003	477.62	0.114	1
	0.415	0.0597	0.003	477.62	0.116	1
	0.42	0.0596	0.003	477.62	0.117	1
	0.425	0.0595	0.003	477.62	0.119	1
	0.43	0.0594	0.003	477.62	0.12	1
	0.435	0.0593	0.003	477.62	0.122	1
	0.44	0.0592	0.003	477.62	0.123	1
	0.445	0.0591	0.003	477.62	0.125	1
	0.45	0.059	0.003	477.62	0.126	1

Raw sensors data to space domain

Starting Marker	Time (ms)	X-axis (mm)	Y-axis (mm)	Z-axis (mm)	Filament Consumed (mm)	Nozzle Temperature (C)	Bed Temperature (C)	Ending Markei	
S	378200.03	201.58	302.66	9.59	88.8	198.75	59.5	Т	
S	378205.15	201.7	302.54					Т	
S	378210.09	201.84	302.42				\backslash	Т	
S	378215.03	201.97	302.28				\backslash	Т	
S	378220.09	202.11	302.15		_			-	
S	378225.09	202.23	302.01	◀	— ⊦asi	t Samples	steady Sa	mples	
S	378230.12	202.34	301.89				/	Т	λ.
S	378235.03	202.46	301.8					Т	
S	378240.09	202.48	301.74					Т	`
S	378245.09	202.27	301.74				*	Т	
S	378250.09	202.05	301.74	9.59	88.814	198.75	59.5	Т	
S	378255.09	201.97	301.76					Т	
S	378260.06	201.95	301.78					Т	
S	378265.12	201.95	301.82					Т	
S	378270.03	201.91	301.85					Т	
S	378275.12	201.84	301.91					Т	V
S	378280.03	201.76	301.99					т	
S	378285.06	201.68	302.07					Т	
S	378290.12	201.6	302.17					Т	
S	378295.03	201.5	302.25					т	
S	378300.03	201.39	302.36	9.59	88.825	198.75	59.5	т	
S	378305.25	201.27	302.48					т	

x-axis (m)	y-axis (m)	Time (sec)	Nozzle temperat ure (K)	Filment length (mm)	Printing Status
0.0044	9.00E-04	3.67	478	1.087	1
0.0044	0.001	3.67	478	1.087	1
0.0044	0.0011	25.465	479	8.226	1
0.0044	0.0012	25.465	479	8.226	1
0.0044	0.0013	25.465	479	8.226	1
0.0044	0.0014	25.465	479	8.226	1
0.0044	0.0015	25.465	479	8.226	1
0.0044	0.0016	999	295	0	0
0.0044	0.0017	43.27	478	12.183	1
0.0044	0.0018	43.27	478	12.183	1
0.0044	0.0019	43.27	478	12.183	1
0.0044	0.002	43.27	478	12.183	1
0.0044	0.0021	43.27	478	12.183	1
0.0044	0.0022	43.255	478	12.178	1
0.0044	0.0023	43.435	478	12.217	1
0.0044	0.0024	43.435	478	12.217	1
0.0044	0.0025	43.435	478	12.217	1
0.0044	0.0026	43.435	478	12.217	1

Go Back To Framework

Sophos3DP space domain representation



Camera image of the printed part



Image generated by Sophos3DP from the sensors data set (single layer)

Process analysis

- Pixel for pixel || sample for sample mapping?
- Three distinct view points
 - ► Time domain
 - Space domain
 - ► G-code instruction
- Evaluation carried out after each layer is printed

Aspects to Analyze	Features
Layer Geometry	Dimensions, Shape
Filament Consumption	Per layer, Per region, per G-code command
Z-profile	Layer Thickness
Timing Profile	Toolpath Sequence, Speed
Thermal Profile	Nozzle Temperature, Bed Temperature
G-code Command Verification	Vertices, Path, Filament Consumption, Command Sequence

Algorithm : G-code commands verification

Output: G-code commands integrity status Input: G-code file , SensorData Synchronize sample series "S" with 1st command>1mm: move from A to B ∀ i ∈ SampleSequence

 $\forall i \in SampleSequence$

Assume
$$s_i = A$$
, if $\exists s_j$ s.t.
 $|d_{i,j} - |AB|| \le th_{vertex} //$ ie. B in vicinity of (s_j)
AND $slope_{AB} \equiv slope(s_i, s_j)$
if s_j NotFound \rightarrow return: **Sync Failed**
else **Sync Achieved**, **Verify Individual Cmds**
 $\forall k \in Gcode_Mov_Cmds:$
Find sample s_i corresponding to vertex A
if A found:

refineVertex(A) \rightarrow s_i

while B found: Chronologically test fol 1. $d(s_{i+1} - B) \le d(s_i - B)$ 2. $dist (s_i -AB) \le th_{point \ 2line}$ if B found: refineVertex(B) $\rightarrow sj$ else: return "**Cmd k failed** due to {Reason}" // Filament consumption test // if ((e(s_j)-e(s_i)) - (e_B - e_A)) \le th_{filament} "**Cmd k Verified**" else return Cmd k failed due to {Reason}

return "Cmd verification test passed"

Attack verdict processing

Challenges

- Physical process has tolerances
- Measurement errors
- Slicer software estimation errors
- Modeling approximation errors
- Our approach
 - Print a set of various benign objects
 - Tweak the alert thresholds to ensure zero FP [each feature is already calibrated]
 - Print a set of attacked samples with high magnitude deviations
 - Gradually reduce the magnitude of attack parameter to the boundary of zero FN
 - Set of parameter values corresponding to zero FP and zero FN represents Sophos3DP performance

Sophos3DP detection thresholds

- Printed objects of various shapes / infills / speeds / other printing parameters
- Find out deviations b/w sensors data and corresponding G-codes
- Alert thresholds relaxed till the achievement of zero False Positives
- For a 5 cm x 5 cm-> 2500 mm², 1 mm² deviation is 0.04 %

S	Performance Parame-	Related At-	Alert Thresholds
/No	ters	tack	
1	Single Mismatched Area	Geometry	1 mm^2
2	Cumulative mismatched	Geometry	2% per layer, min dimen-
	area		sion >0.2 mm
3	Nozzle Temperature Devia-	Thermo-	5°C
	tion	dynamics	
4	Time window for sample	Timing Profile	2 seconds
	search		
5	Samples Mismatch per	Timing Profile	2%
	Layer		
6	Continuous Mismatch Du-	Timing Profile	500 ms
	ration		
7	Max Layer Thickness Differ-	Geometry	0.05 mm for 500 ms
	ence		
8	Bed Temperature Differ-	Thermo-	5 ^o C for 500 ms
	ence	dynamics	
9	Filament Consumption De-	Density, Geom-	5% diff per move
	viation per move	etry	
10	Filament Consumption De-	Density, Geom-	1% diff per layer
	viation per Layer	etry	
11	Max Nozzle Deviation	Geometry	$0.75 \text{ mm} \perp \text{to move path}$
12	Max Vertex Deviation	Geometry	0.75 mm

Performance of detection algorithms

Attack	Attack Mechanism	Parameters Impacted		orithm formai	s nce *	Final Result and Performance	Existing State of the Art
1 ype		-	1	2	3	Description	
Filament Status	Switched off extruder motor for 1 infill command	Filament consumption Space layout Timing Synchronization	~	~	~	Detected (100ms+) 1% filament deviation / layer, 5% per command	Not Detected
Filament Density	Reduced the filament consumption for 2 infill lines	Filament consumption Space layout Timing Synchronization	~	1	~	Detected 1% deviation per layer, 5% per command	Not Detected
Nozzle Temperature	Changed nozzle temperature by ±10C	Nozzle temperature profile	~	~	×	Detected; Capable to detect lower deviations	Not Detected
Bed Temperature	Changed bed temperature by ±5C	Bed temperature profile	×	~	×	Detected	Not Detected
Infill Pattern	Line/Triangle/Grid/ Gyroid	Space layout Timing Synchronization	~	~	~	Detected	Detected [13]
Infill Density	20%,21%, 30%, 31%, 40%,41%	Space layout Timing Synchronization	1	~	1	Detected; 1% verified;	Presented case: 10% deviation [15] Any deviation > 1sec [12]

1: Space domain analysis; 2: Time domain analysis; 3: G-code command verification

H		1	1	-	1	1	
Layer Thickness	Changed z-move from 0.2 mm to 0.1 mm	Z-axis Synchronization	×	1	×	Detected	0.1mm with multiple sensors [17] OR if repeated over multiple layers [16]
Geometry limits (x _{min} , y _{min} – x _{max} , y _{max})	Changed outer dimensions by ±0.3mm over one axis	Space layout	~	×	~	Detected; verified General rule: 1mm ² single area or 2% total mismatch	Not addressed as a benchmark
Cavity	No cavity, 1x1mm, 2x2mm, 3x2mm, 3x3mm cavities	Space integrity Timing Synchronization	~	1	~	Detected	4 mm over one axis [17]
Toolpath Sequence	Sequence of 3 move commands modified (total $\Delta t < 1$ sec)	Relative toolpath deviation	×	×	~	Detected, if vertices distance > 1mm ; $\Delta t < 1$ sec is detectable	$\Delta t \ge 2.26 \text{ sec } [12]$
Toolpath Add / Delete	Single command (< 1 sec, 2mm) with no extrusion	Space layout Relative toolpath deviation	~	×	~	Detected, if distance > 1mm	1 sec duration (translated to >10 mm) [12]
Toolpath Add / Delete	Two short commands 'to &fro' (< 1sec, 2mm) with no extrusion	Relative toolpath deviation	×	×	~	Detected; if distance > 1mm	Not presented; we assume 1 sec (as above)
Toolpath Add / Delete	Multiple consecutive small (<1mm) commands sequence lasting for over 2 sec	Timing Synchronization	×	~	×	Detected, if cumulative distance of single seq > 1 mm OR if Σ (Δt) > 2 sec	Not presented; we assume 1 sec (as above)
Printing Speed	w/o modifying the path, changed the printing speed to create 2 seconds difference	Timing Synchronization	×	~	×	Detected, as and if cumulative time difference > 2 sec	$\Delta v \ge \pm 25 \text{mm/s} [17]$ 0.8 sec [12]

1: Space domain analysis; 2: Time domain analysis; 3: G-code command verification

Sophos3DP Evaluation

Sophos3DP Performance on Test Attacks

- 16 different types of attacks conducted
- Patterns and design files were changed BUT attack magnitudes kept bigger than the detection capability
- All attacks successfully detected

Attack Name	Attack Mechanism	Affected		
		Domain		
Filament status	Switch off the extruder for 1 infill command	FK		
Filament density	Reduce the filament speed for 2 infill lines	FK		
Filament-kinetic	Retract the filament for 2 infill lines 2 mm long, FK,			
based cavity	Path change:Nil; Time Diff:0.4 sec	minimal		
Nozzle temperature	Change the nozzle temperature by $\pm 10^{\circ}$ C in	THM		
	central region of rectangular bar			
Bed temperature	Change the bed temperature by 6 ^o C	THM		
Infill pattern	Swap among the Line/Triangle/Grid or other	FK, NK		
	infill patterns			
Infill density	Change infill density from 20% to 21% ; 30% to	FK, NK		
	31% ; 40% to 41% (1% change at different density			
	levels)			
Z-axis 1 layer	Change layer thickness of a single layer by 0.1	ZK		
	mm			
Z-axis multiple lay-	Change thickness of three layers by 0.04 mm	ZK		
ers	each			
Outer geometry	Change outer dimensions by 0.3 mm for a single	FK, NK		
	axis			
Cavity via design	Create a cavity of 1x1 mm, 2x2 mm, 3x2 mm	FK, NK		
file	through design file			
Path sequence	Modify 3 mov cmds, Δ t <1sec	FK, NK		
Add/delete single	Add 2 mm long, <1 sec cmd w/o extrusion	FK, NK		
move				
Add/delete 2 moves	Add 2 cmds of 2 mm and under 1sec duration	FK, NK		
	w/o filament extrusion [A>B, B>A]			
Add/delete multiple	Insert multiple cmds <1 mm each, lasting for 2	NK		
small moves	secs			
Printing speed	Change printing speed to cause $\Delta t \ge 2$ sec, w/o	FK, NK		
	path change			

Cavity Attack based on Design Change

- Change in design stage results in BIG attack footprint
 - G-code commands sequence
 - Space domain layout
 - Timing profile
 - Filament-kinetic profile





Intermediate Layer - Original File

Intermediate Layer - After Attack

Cavity Attack based on Filament Kinetics



Final printed object has no visible deformation

Cavity seen during the printing - picture taken after printing is paused



Bitmap image generated from the G-code file

Bitmap image generated from the sensors data

Thermodynamic Attacks

- Planned temperature variations can induce thermal stresses in the object
- Two attack variations
 - Increasing the temperature
 - Reducing the temperature





Toolpath Re-sequence Attack

- Toolpath sequence is important parameter in FDM
- Tested Sophos3DP on very small change
 - 3 commands creating corner triangle re-sequenced
- Detects on the first modified command



(a) Original Sequence Trace

Future Work

Future Work - Sophos3DP+

- Monitoring direct manipulable processes Missing elements
- Attack may not be detectable in one layer
 - > XZ, YZ, any other plane or not confined to a plane
- Features not incorporated in G-codes



Conclusion

- Sophos3DP is a modular integrity checking framework
- It utilizes ubiquitous sensors to attain printing state independent of the process chain
- Deploys multi-domain analysis to detect inconspicuous attacks
- No machine learning or profile generation required for new objects
- Aligned with Industry 4.0 vision of mass customization
- Future directions







Thanks!!

Questions?

Presenter:Muhammad Haris Raisraismh@vcu.eduYe Liyli@bradley.eduIrfan Ahmediahmed3@vcu.edu